## Appendix C - Email Chain with Planning Officer on ROW Meeting

An original unredacted version of the correspondence can be supplied on request

From: Bord, David

Sent: 05 April 2023 16:48

**To:** adrian lynham **Cc:** Stephenson, John

Subject: RE: Lower Hockenden Farm - Public Rights of Way Meeting

Dear Adrian,

John Stephenson is my manager and head of Planning Appeals & Enforcement.

Whilst I appreciate your endeavour to establish dialogue with the LPA, this should be planning related and I would respectfully again point out that the matters which you intend to discuss on site on 12 April are unrelated to planning. As I set out in my initial email to you, it would be helpful if you could advise at the outset how your client would intend to address the requirements of the Enforcement Notices. Your client has already contested the premise of the enforcement action which has resulted in this matter being referred to a public inquiry.

I should also add that another firm of planning agents has been commission to deal with the planning issues pertaining to this site, and I have received no indication to date either from that firm or their clients that they are no longer engaged in this matter. It would be helpful if the Council could be provided with clarification in this regard.

Kind regards,

**David Bord** 

Principal Planner, Planning Division, London Borough Bromley

From: adrian lynham Sent: 05 April 2023 16:23

**To:** Bord, David **Cc:** Stephenson, John

Subject: RE: Lower Hockenden Farm - Public Rights of Way Meeting

David,

Thank you for making the effort to get back to me, much appreciated. I understand what you are staying, but I still think it would be helpful to be able to open a verbal communication channel, particularly as I'm completely new to this situation, and there is a lot of history.

However, let's leave it for today and maybe pick up again tomorrow. Who would be the right person to speak in respect of a phonecall about the way forward? Is it you or John Stephenson?

Adrian Lynham

## **Continuplan Limited**

From: Bord, David

Sent: 05 April 2023 15:55

To: adrian lynham

Cc: Stephenson, John; Raggett, Jim

Subject: RE: Lower Hockenden Farm - Public Rights of Way Meeting

Dear Adrian,

Further to your email, any concerns relating to the public right of way are a matter for Jim Raggett and his team to address and are generally unrelated to the Planning Department. Moreover, the Planning Department does not deal with concerns arising out of alleged antisocial behaviour. As such, the Planning Department does not need to be represented at the meeting.

For your information there are Planning Enforcement Notices relating to the Land at Lower Hockenden Farm concerning the deposit of waste and storage of items (comprising machinery, containers, etc) but these matters are subject to planning appeals submitted by the site tenant and will be considered separately.

Kind regards,

**David Bord** 

Principal Planner, Planning Division, London Borough Bromley

From: adrian lynham
Sent: 05 April 2023 14:19
To: Bord, David Cc: Raggett, Jim

Subject: Lower Hockenden Farm - Public Rights of Way Meeting

Importance: High

David,

In the event we don't get to speak to each other today, given that the Easter Holiday is approaching rapidly - one of the things I was keen to flag when we talk is that there is a meeting coming up between the landowner, the police, and Bromley's rights of way officers (including Jim) about how best to manage the ongoing issues the Council is having with the rights of way and antisocial behaviour here.

The meeting is on 12 April at 11am, on site, and I think it would be highly desirable for the planning authority to be represented – particularly as Bromley may need to apply to planning permission to itself in respect of some possible management options. It also means we could address wider issues on the site as a whole in respect of the bikes from a planning perspective, with appropriate input from the police, and agree an approach to resolve the outstanding enforcement issues which you can fully support.

If you could possibly try and keep that slot free, so there remains the potential you or an appropriate colleague could attend, we can go into more detail when we get to speak.

Adrian Lynham

**Continuplan Limited**