

Template for Local Authority Report

to

The Schools Adjudicator

from

London Borough of Bromley Local Authority

to be provided by

31 October 2024

Report Cleared by: Name: Scott Bagshaw

Job Title: Head of Access to Education and Inclusion Telephone number: 0208 313 4442 Email: scott.bagshaw@bromley.gov.uk

Date submitted: 31 October 2024

By:Name: Simon Harrington-WhitnallJob Title: Admissions and Transport Services ManagerTelephone number: 0208 313 4809Email: simon.harringtonwhitnall@bromley.gov.uk

Website: Office of the Schools Adjudicator

Please email your completed report to: Office of the Schools Adjudicator by 31 October 2024 and earlier if possible

Contents

Introduction

Guidance on completing the template

Sect	tion 1 – Normal points of admission	6
A.	Co-ordination	6
В.	Looked after and previously looked after children	6
C.	Special educational needs and/or disabilities	7

Sec	tion 2 – In-year admissions	. 8
Α.	Overall level of challenge for your in-year admissions	. 8
В.	Looked after children and previously looked after children	. 8
C.	Children with special educational needs and/or disabilities	. 9
D.	Fair access protocol	. 9
E.	Directions to maintained schools to admit children	11
F.	Other points on in-year admissions	11
Sec	tion 3 – Other matters	14

Section 4 – Feedback14

Introduction

 Section 88P of the School Standards and Framework Act 1998 (the Act) requires every local authority to make an annual report to the adjudicator. The Chief Adjudicator then includes a summary of these reports in the annual report to the Secretary of State for Education. The School Admissions Code (the Code) sets out the requirements for reports by local authorities in paragraph 6. Paragraph 3.30 specifies what must be included as a minimum in the report to the adjudicator and makes provision for the local authority to include any other matters. Paragraphs 6 and 3.30 of the Code require that each local authority publish its report locally.

2. This year's report must cover the 2023/2024 academic year and be submitted to the Office of the Schools Adjudicator (OSA) by 31 October 2024.

3. Please note that, in response to feedback on the previous template and in the light of consultation with a group of local authorities, changes have been made to various sections of this year's template.

Guidance on completing the template

- 4. We have included all the guidance on completing specific parts of the template in this section. There is no requirement for local authorities to include the introduction and the guidance in their published reports, but they are free to do so if they wish.
- 5. We should be grateful if in completing questions which ask for information about primary and secondary schools and/or pupils, local authorities would follow the approach to classification of schools used in statutory provisions and in the Department for Education Statistical First Release¹ and the Education Middle School (England) Regulations 2002².
- 6. The Code sets out some minimum requirements on the contents of each local authority report stating that each must cover as a minimum "information about how admission arrangements in the area of the local authority serve the interests of looked after children and previously looked after children, children with disabilities and children with special educational needs, including any details of where problems have arisen."
- 7. The Department for Education's aim through the annual reports from local authorities is to understand how well the admissions system is working

¹ <u>Department for Education Statistical First Release</u>

² The Education Middle School (England) Regulations 2002

nationally, rather than to hold individual local authorities to account. By understanding the effectiveness of the system, including outcomes, the Department hopes to identify areas where the admissions system is working well and areas where it could be improved. With that in mind, when the template asks, "how well does the admission system serve the needs of children," the Department appreciates that it is asking local authorities to make a subjective judgement, in the understanding that everyone's experience with the admission system will be different. The Department encourages local authorities in responding to the open questions and spaces for open comments to set out challenges that are proving difficult to overcome.

- 8. Guidance on specific questions and/or meaning of specific terms in this report:
 - a. "in-year admissions": This means admissions (that is children admitted to a school and not applications for places):
 - i. to a year group which is not a normal point of entry for the school concerned (for example to Year 2 for a five to eleven primary school); and
 - ii. after the end of the statutory waiting list period (31 December) to a year group which is a normal year of admission for the schools concerned (such as Year R and Year 7).
 - b. Not applicable means that there were no children falling within the relevant definition.
- 9. We welcome all comments that local authorities make in the comment boxes and we aim to reflect those comments in the Annual Report, but we ask for the comments to be entered under the right headings. Section 3 invites comment on any other matters not specifically addressed in this template if local authorities wish to do so. The views expressed in previous years also remain a matter of public record.
- 10. We ask that where possible, you return the template in Word instead of PDF formatting. A number of you have commented on the formatting of the template and we have tried to make it as accessible as possible, but we are aware that some local authorities use different versions of Word.
- 11. Where questions request a comparison with the previous year, any new local authorities formed as a result of reorganisation should note this on the form.

Information requested

Section 1 – Normal points of admission

A. Co-ordination

Which of the following best describes the level of challenge for your **main** admissions round in 23/24 compared to 22/23?

Year Group	Much less challenging	Less challenging	No change	More challenging	Much more challenging
Reception			Х		
Year 7			Х		
Other relevant years of entry			Х		

Please give examples to illustrate your answer if you wish:

All on-time applications were processed and offers made on the relevant offer date.

Late applications have been processed and places offered effectively on an ongoing basis

B. Looked after and previously looked after children

i. How well does the admissions system in your local authority area serve the interests of looked after children at **normal points of admission**?

 \Box Not at all \Box Not well \Box Well \boxtimes Very well \Box Not applicable

ii. How well do the admissions systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of children looked after by your local authority at **normal points of admission**?

 \Box Not at all \Box Not well \boxtimes Well \Box Very well \Box Not applicable

iii. How well does your admissions system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your area **at normal points of admission**?

 \Box Not at all \Box Not well \boxtimes Well \Box Very well \Box Not applicable

- iv. How well does the admissions system in your local authority area serve the interests of previously looked after children at **normal points of admission**?
 - \Box Not at all \Box Not well \boxtimes Well \Box Very well \Box Not applicable

v. If you wish, please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties which exemplify your answers about the admission to schools of looked after and previously looked after children at **normal points of admission**:

All schools (primary, infant, junior and secondary) with the London Borough of Bromley are their own admission authorities and, therefore, the Council is not responsible for setting any of the admission arrangements. That said, the arrangements are checked for legal compliance and afford priority for children falling into these categories.

C. Special educational needs and/or disabilities

i. How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who **have** an education, health and care plan that names a school at **normal points of admission**?

 \Box Not at all \Box Not well \boxtimes Well \Box Very well \Box Not applicable

Please provide any comments you wish to make on the admission of children with special educational needs and/or disabilities at normal points of admission:

The LA follows the Phase Transfer process as per the Code of Practice.

The majority of children receive a named placement by February 15th. There are challenges with capacity and therefore naming placements for specialist settings.

Section 2 - In-year admissions

A. Which of the following best describes the overall level of challenge for your in-year admissions in 23/24 compared to 22/23?

Phase	Much less challenging	Less challenging	No change	More challenging	Much more challenging
Primary			Х		
Secondary				Х	

If you wish, please explain the factors that have changed the level of challenge for your in-year admissions:

Secondary in-year admissions have become more challenging due to the reduced availability of places in certain year groups, meaning that we cannot always meet preference or allocate a school within a reasonable distance, thus invoking FAP on more non-challenging case who are hard to place due to lack of vacant places available.

Thankfully, schools are consistently supportive in the majority of cases enabling prompt identification of education provision.

B. Looked after children and previously looked after children

i. How well does the **in-year admission** system serve children who are looked after by your local authority and who are being educated in your area?

 \Box Not at all \Box Not well \Box Well \boxtimes Very well \Box Not applicable

ii. How well does the **in-year admission** systems in other local authority areas serve the interests of your looked after children?

 \Box Not at all \Box Not well \boxtimes Well \Box Very well \Box Not applicable

iii. How well does the **in-year admission** system serve the interests of children who are looked after by other local authorities but educated in your area?

 \Box Not at all \Box Not well \boxtimes Well \Box Very well \Box Not applicable

iv. How well does your **in-year admission** system serve the interests of previously looked after children?

 \Box Not at all \Box Not well \boxtimes Well \Box Very well \Box Not applicable

v. If you wish, please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties which support or exemplify your answers about **in-year admissions** for looked after and previously looked after children:

Overall schools in the borough work collaboratively with the virtual school and the Council is able to identify and secure school admissions quickly for CLA and those previously looked after.

C. Children with special educational needs and/or disabilities

i. How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who **have** an education, health and care plan that names a school when they need to be **admitted in-year**?

 \Box Not at all well \Box Not well \boxtimes Well \Box Very well \Box Not applicable

ii. How well served are children with special educational needs and/or disabilities who **do not have** an education, health and care plan when they need to be **admitted in-year**?

 \Box Not at all well \Box Not well \boxtimes Well \Box Very well \Box Do not know

iii. Please give examples of any good or poor practice or difficulties which support or exemplify your answers about **in-year admissions** for children with special educational needs and/or disabilities:

It is the case that occasionally mainstream schools will determine that they are unable to meet need, and work is underway to improve inclusive practice where this is the case. This can be particularly frustrating where mainstream schools that may be outside the borough state they can meet need, and local schools which undoubtedly could with reasonable adjustments claim they cannot. Such practice limits options for placement and drives up transport costs.

The vast majority of schools work closely with the LA and are supportive of in-year admissions regardless of additional needs which may present.

D. Fair access protocol

What proportion of the state-funded mainstream schools in your area have said that they agree to the local authority fair access protocol?

Primary Between 0% and 49% □

Between	50%	and	74%	
Between	75%	and	89%	
Between	90%	and	99%	\boxtimes
100%				

Secondary

Between 0% and 49%	
Between 50% and 74%	
Between 75% and 89%	
Between 90% and 99%	\boxtimes
100%	

If you have below 75% for either phase, please explain why:

N/A

i. How many children were admitted to schools in your area under the fair access protocol between 1 August 2023 and 31 July 2024?

Type of school	Number of Primary aged children admitted	Number of Secondary aged children admitted
Community and voluntary controlled	N/A	N/A
Foundation, voluntary aided and academies	1	127
Total	1	127

ii. If you have seen a change in the number of children referred to your Fair Access Protocol between 1 August 2023 and 31 July 2024 compared to the previous academic year please indicate what you consider the key reasons for this change to be?

There has been an increase in the number of secondary Fair Access cases, which is primarily due to an increase of families moving into the LA and there being no available spaces in the relevant year groups within a reasonable distance, therefore triggering FAP as they become hard-to-place pupils.

The vast majority of FAP cases arise through a lack of capacity invariably brought about by schools capping numbers in later year groups and the LA needing to have a fair mechanism to place schools over number as opposed to placements following exclusions etc. Figures are therefore slightly misleading in so far as a number of children are hard to place simply because schools are full.

iii. How well do you consider children referred to the Fair Access Protocol are served in in your area?

Not at all well □ Not well ☑ Well □ Very well □ Not applicable
iv. Please provide any comments you wish on the protocol not covered above:
As in previous years, the vast majority of secondary schools in the LA are actively and positively engaged with FAP, although there continues to be particular challenges around Year 11 pupils.

E. Directions to maintained schools to admit children³

How many directions did the local authority make between 1 August 2023 and 31 July 2024 to maintained schools for which the local authority is not the admission authority to admit children (including children looked after by the local authority but resident in another area)?

Total number of children	Of which, looked after	Of which, not looked after
0	0	0

F. Other points on in-year admissions

i. For the schools for which the local authority co-ordinates in-year applications, in the year between 1 Aug 2023 and 31 July 2024 did you receive

□ Significantly fewer applications than last year

³ It is important that only Directions to maintained schools are included here. Numbers of Directions to academies are already held by the Department.

- □ slightly fewer applications than last year
- \boxtimes about the same
- □ slightly more than last year
- □ significantly more than last year
- ii. For what proportion of **primary** schools in your area did the local authority co-ordinate in-year admissions during the 2023/2024 academic year

Between 0% and 24%	
Between 25% and 49%	
Between 50% and 74%	
Between 75% and 100%	\boxtimes

iii. For what proportion of **secondary** schools in your area did the local authority co-ordinate in-year admissions during the 2023/2024 academic year

Between 0% and 24%	
Between 25% and 49%	
Between 50% and 74%	
Between 75% and 100%	\boxtimes

iv. If you wish, please provide any comments about how **well in-year admissions** works for children who are **not** looked after or previously looked after and/or do **not** have SEND:

For those schools where the Council co-ordinates the in-year admission processes it works very well.

For the few schools that administer their own in-year admission processes, the Council is often not provided with information or updates on cases, despite requests for such information. The Council is, therefore, unaware of where there are places in year groups, the quality of the admissions information provided to parents, or whether their right to appeal refused places is appropriately communicated.

v. If you wish, please provide any other comments on the admission of children **in-year** not previously raised (you may wish to include here any comments about cases where it has not proved possible to find places for children):

There is an excellent practice in relation to our FAP process and for children unable to secure a place through the in-year processes, who invariable are offered place through FAP. This includes the full participation of those schools who manage their own in-year processes.

Section 3 - Other matters

Are there any other matters that the local authority would like to raise that have not been covered by the questions above?

Given the recent changes to VAT on private independent schools, I wonder whether there should be some questions next year about any potential challenges this may cause during the course of the year in regard to capacity or a whether it does actually result in any sort of shift to the maintained school sector.

Section 4 - Feedback

We would be grateful if you could provide any feedback on completing this report to inform our practice for 2025.

The report is concise, simple to complete, and presented in a helpful and clear format.

Thank you for completing this template.

Please return to Office of the Schools Adjudicator by 31 October 2024